Follow up to the Testimony to the Court Redistricting Panel to Provide Fair ALANA (African Latino Asian and Native American) Political Representation in Minnesota

Dr. Bruce Corrie, PhD

Brett Buckner

OneMn.org, Color the Vote and Minnesota BaseNetwork

(A nonpartisan multiethnic alliance working to create a multiethnic society in Minnesota with no racial barriers to success)

Co-Sponsors

Readus Fletcher, OneMN.org
Ezell Jones, OneMN.org, Color the Vote, MBCC
Lea Hargett, MN Black Chamber of Commerce, OneMN.org
David Glass, American Indian Economic Development Fund, OneMN.org
Gene Gelgelu, Oromo Community, OneMN.org
Bao Vang, Hmong Community
Lee Pao Xiong, Hmong Community
Michael Fondungullah, Cameroonian Community, OneMN.org
Lester Collins, OneMN.org
Heladio (Lalo) F. Zavala, MAFO
Roy F. Taylor, Native American Community and Entrepreneur
Bashe Said, Somali Community

This note follows our October 5, 2011 testimony to the Court Redistricting Panel. We offer the Court certain principles when drawing the new Congressional and legislative boundaries for Minnesota. These principles are from the perspective of ALANA (African Latino Asian and Native American) communities. We have produced a map but will not be submitting it at this time as we continue to seek community input on it. However what is clearly illustrated in our map is that while respecting common redistricting laws and principles it is possible to achieve near parity in the number of legislative seats (for 17 percent of the population at least 21 House and 11 Senate seats) for the ALANA communities. We have not included the map in the testimony because of the shortness in time to meet the Court deadline for submissions of testimony.

In our map we have been able to achieve the following:

- At least 21 House opportunity districts for ALANA communities
- At least 9 Senate opportunity districts for ALANA communities
- 2 Congressional ALANA opportunity districts
- At least 79 House districts where ALANA communities make up at least 10 percent of the population creating core constituents across legislative districts.
- At least 41 Senate districts where ALANA communities make up at least 10 percent of the population creating core constituents across legislative districts.
- Geographically in the North West corner of the state there is a possibility for an ALANA opportunity district
- Create a minority majority district in Brooklyn Park and Brooklyn Center
- Increase ALANA representation in rural areas, suburban and exurban areas
- Increase representation for Latino, Asian (including Hmong), African American, African
 Immigrant (including Somali) and Native American communities.

In order to achieve these goals we offer the following:

- A map illustrating the value of the ethnic economy in Minnesota across Minnesota cities and the challenge to provide adequate representation to these economic interests.
- Principles to help frame the decisions regarding legislative boundaries.

Principles

• An ethnic economy is a shared community of interest where ALANA firms, workers, consumers and students interact and engage with each other. These ethnic economies emerge with a critical mass of ALANA populations. We request that legislative districts preserve intact these ethnic economies and maximize their political representation. Particular attention needs to be paid to the major ALANA Cities/Ethnic economies listed in the attached table and the various ethnic economies reflected in the map of ALANA buying power. The table shows the consumer

power as well as the economic value of firms in terms of receipts, payroll and employment to the cities where they are located.

- Maintain the gains of current ALANA elected members while increasing the opportunity of communities to elect or influence the election of a representative in metro, suburban, exurban and rural areas.
- While "minority opportunity districts" is an important mechanism of fair representation for minorities it is equally important to empower the following groups wherever possible: African American, African Immigrant, Somalis, Hmong, Latinos and Native American communities. Do not break these communities into separate districts

Why is it important to have fair political representation?

- 1. ALANA interests are not adequately addressed in the current political system. ALANA face significant barriers in various sectors of the economy and society, whether it is the achievement and wealth gaps to access to capital and business opportunities. These have been adequately documented in many recent studies and reports including the recent disparity studies. At the same time the vibrant entrepreneurship potential in ALANA communities with its potential to create jobs and wealth for all, has had minimal policy attention because of lack of political representation at all levels of government in Minnesota. This is documented in the recent "F" grade awarded to ALANA business development by the Minneapolis Chamber of Commerce. ALANA communities in rural Minnesota face barriers to business, social, political and educational success because their voice is largely absent in the policy institutions in rural Minnesota.
- 2. In areas where there is a critical mass of ALANA people an ethnic economy emerges in the form of a strong consumer base, workers, entrepreneurs, trade networks, cultural and civic capital (See attached map showing cities and an estimated buying power of ALANA consumers). There is a dearth of political representation for these ethnic economies. Yet they are powerful engines of economic growth. We estimate the ethnic economy to be over \$12 billion in Minnesota, bigger than the GDP of many countries in the world.

Ranked by revenue, minority firms as a group would be the 15th largest in the state with total revenue of \$5.8 billion in 2007, larger than St. Jude Medical, Toro and Deluxe Corporation. Asian owned firms would rank the 22nd largest with revenue of \$2.4 billion.

Ranked by employees, minority firms as a group would be the 9th largest employer, bigger than General Mills, Ecolab and Hormel, employing almost 40,000 with an annual payroll of \$1.1 billion.

- 3. **With the suburbanization and rural spread of ALANA** communities the issue of political representation becomes stronger.
- 4. A significant proportion of the growth of Minnesota's population comes from the ALANA communities.

Past Experience

Currently there are only 6 ALANA legislators in the Minnesota legislature. Redistricting options in the past have not empowered ALANA communities and is reflected in their poor representation in the legislature and the repeated poor annual ranking awarded to the legislature and Governor in Minnesota by the OAP project on issues important to these communities.

Benefits to Minnesota

Create opportunity districts based on Minnesota demographics.

Creating ALANA Opportunity districts will increase the possibilities of more ALANA elected to the legislature. In the past decade the number of ALANA legislators ranged from 6 to 7.

Have elected representatives with the ability to propose effective solutions to the various gaps in education, assets, housing, health and employment.

Currently there is the need for elected officials that could propose effective solution to the various gaps in education, assets, housing, health and employment. Increased ALANA representation will be critical in this regard.

Low income communities will benefit.

Since ALANA communities tend to live in communities of interest with other low income communities creating such opportunity districts also increases the voice of low income people in Minnesota who face similar issues such as public transportation, affordable housing, lacking adequate health insurance, poor asset base etc.

Help Minnesota Become Globally Competitive

Having ALANA communities well represented in policy leadership will nurture these ethnic economies and help Minnesota leverage its ethnic capital and compete effectively in the global economy.

Major ALANA Cities/Ethnic Economies, 2011

Geographic Area Name	ALANA firms (number)	Receipts (\$1,000)	Employer firms (number)	Employees (number)	Annual payroll (\$1,000)	Buying Power	
Minneapolis city, MN	5,915	1,340,757	792	10,447	326,176	\$ 2,375,000,000	
St. Paul city, MN	4,310	380,211	414	4,012	81,277	\$ 1,995,000,000	
Brooklyn Park city, MN	1,316	112,484	142	930	24,583	\$ 565,000,000	
Bloomington city, MN	711	192,468	168	1,756	42,399	\$ 313,000,000	
Brooklyn Center city, MN	403	43,808	S	S	S	\$ 242,000,000	
Burnsville city, MN	537	50,723	78	403	7,745	\$ 233,000,000	
Eden Prairie city, MN	510	90,702	72	585	36,222	\$ 219,000,000	
Eagan city, MN	613	145,271	77	516	21,853	\$ 211,000,000	
Plymouth city, MN	452	135,753	81	492	28,307	\$ 211,000,000	
Woodbury city, MN	634	79,480	S	S	S	\$ 194,000,000	
Blaine city, MN	604	28,664	93	543	7,371	\$ 168,000,000	
Maplewood city, MN	322	22,647	27	291	6,151	\$ 145,000,000	
Shakopee city, MN	268	40,775	86	520	10,530	\$ 143,000,000	
Maple Grove city, MN	427	72,620	92	672	16,279	\$ 137,000,000	
Duluth city, MN	371	35,883	45	237	5,893	\$ 133,000,000	
St. Cloud city, MN	270	24,338	43	189	4,619	\$ 132,000,000	
St. Louis Park city, MN	329	67,429	59	781	15,131	\$ 124,000,000	
Fridley city, MN	245	324,295	27	338	19,014	\$ 107,000,000	
Cottage Grove city, MN	198	15,500	S	S	S	\$ 100,000,000	
Lakeville city, MN	355	11,604	42	268	10,294	\$ 98,000,000	
Hopkins city, MN	221	39,715	60	551	11,742	\$ 97,000,000	
Edina city, MN	319	48,353	54	291	11,662	\$ 96,000,000	
Roseville city, MN	171	62,757	62	923	16,774	\$ 95,000,000	
Savage city, MN	228	14,855	S	S	S	\$ 91,000,000	
West St. Paul city, MN	200	7,712	12	34	847	\$ 91,000,000	
New Hope city, MN	252	24,156	20	283	7,017	\$ 89,000,000	
Inver Grove Heights city, MN	239	34,122	57	197	4,298	\$ 88,000,000	
Oakdale city, MN	263	15,522	16	113	4,985	\$ 82,000,000	
Crystal city, MN	183	10,711	15	160	3,651	\$ 77,000,000	
Minnetonka city, MN	408	68,337	65	364	17,921	\$ 74,000,000	
Chaska city, MN	61	6,131	14	21	661	\$ 70,000,000	
Mankato city, MN	148	D	13	С	D	\$ 69,000,000	
Moorhead city, MN	132	7,732	S	S	S	\$ 66,000,000	
South St. Paul city, MN	91	16,626	S	S	S	\$ 60,000,000	

New Brighton city, MN	57	7,676	10	58	1,067	\$ 55,000,000
Golden Valley city, MN	259	94,892	56	357	16,571	\$ 53,000,000
Rosemount city, MN	195	7,275	5	a	D	\$ 52,000,000
Chanhassen city, MN	54	104,288	20	116	4,273	\$ 45,000,000
Shoreview city, MN	120	D	11	b	D	\$ 43,000,000
Farmington city, MN	97	3,750	8	38	1,085	\$ 40,000,000
Northfield city, MN	75	5,009	17	81	1,780	\$ 40,000,000
Bemidji city, MN	32	7,211	5	106	2,189	\$ 38,000,000
Andover city, MN	63	2,427	4	a	D	\$ 35,000,000
Vadnais Heights city, MN	81	18,646	31	70	882	\$ 32,000,000
Ramsey city, MN	50	5,053	3	17	695	\$ 29,000,000
Spring Lake Park city, MN	36	10,801	4	128	3,856	\$ 19,000,000
Stillwater city, MN	46	59,567	15	208	5,013	\$ 15,000,000
Ham Lake city, MN	153	37,600	11	50	2,065	\$ 14,000,000
New Ulm city, MN	31	7,697	8	67	898	\$ 13,000,000
Mound city, MN	93	6,906	4	20	756	\$ 10,000,000

